

1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
2 KENT M. ROGER, State Bar No. 95987  
3 HERMAN J. HOYING, State Bar No. 257495  
4 JENNIFER L. CALVERT, State Bar No. 258018  
5 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
6 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
7 Tel: 415.442.1000  
8 Fax: 415.442.1001  
9 kroger@morganlewis.com  
10 hhoying@morganlewis.com  
11 jennifer.calvert@morganlewis.com

12 Attorneys for Defendants  
13 HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.,  
14 HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

Best Buy Co., Inc., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

This Document Relates to Individual Case No.  
3:10-cv-4572 SI

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827

MDL No. 1827

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
REGARDING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Honorable Susan Illston

1           WHEREAS plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc.; Best Buy Purchasing LLC; Best Buy Enterprise  
2 Services, Inc.; Best Buy Stores, L.P.; Best Buy China Ltd. and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. filed the  
3 above captioned lawsuit on October 8, 2010;

4           WHEREAS plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc.; Best Buy Purchasing LLC; Best Buy Enterprise  
5 Services, Inc.; Best Buy Stores, L.P.; BestBuy.com, L.L.C.; and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. ("Best  
6 Buy") filed a first amended complaint on June 7, 2011 ("Amended Complaint");

7           WHEREAS Defendants Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.  
8 and Hitachi, Ltd. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants") jointly filed with other defendants a  
9 motion to dismiss on July 7, 2011;

10          WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part Defendants' joint motion to  
11 dismiss on August 24, 2011;

12          WHEREAS all defendants, including the Hitachi Defendants entered into a stipulation  
13 with Best Buy on August 29, 2011 that Defendants' deadline to answer the Amended Complaint  
14 is September 23, 2011;

15          WHEREAS on August 31, 2011, the Court entered an order extending Defendants'  
16 deadline to answer the Amended Complaint until September 23, 2011;

17          WHEREAS extending the Hitachi Defendants' time to respond to the Amended  
18 Complaint will not alter the date of any other event or deadline already fixed by the Court;

19          NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the  
20 undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, Best Buy, on the one hand, and the  
21 Hitachi Defendants on the other hand, as follows:

22           Hitachi Defendants will have until September 30, 2011 to answer Best Buy's  
23 Amended Complaint.

1 Dated: September 21, 2011

2 ROBINS KAPLAN MILLER & CIRESI, LLP

3 /s/ David Martinez

4 Roman M. Silberfeld (Bar No. 62783)  
5 David Martinez (SBN 193183)  
6 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400  
7 Los Angeles California, 90067-3208  
Tel: (310) 552-0130  
Fax: (310) 229-5800  
*DMartinez@rkmc.com*

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy  
9 Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc.,  
Best Buy Stores, L.P., BestBuy.com, L.L.C.,  
and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc.*

10 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

11 /s/ Kent M. Roger

12 Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)  
13 Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP  
14 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
15 San Francisco, CA 94105  
Tel: (415) 442-1000  
Fax: (415) 442-1001  
*kroger@morganlewis.com*

16 *Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays,  
17 Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

28

MORGAN, LEWIS &  
BOCKIUS LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

I, Kent M. Roger, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that David Martinez concurs in this filing.

/s/ Kent M. Roger

Kent M. Roger

*Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

## **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation set forth above and pursuant to Rule 6-1(a) of the Civil Local Rules, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 21, 2011

By Susan Illston  
HON. SUSAN ILLSTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MORGAN, LEWIS &  
BOCKIUS LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO